## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

	11
APP	O P
SUS	2010

Unite	d States of America,	)	Case No. 19 - CR - 16 1 HS HERN US NOS TOS OUT OF THE PART OF THE	SOONG
	Plaintiff, v.	)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT	DE CALIFO
ANDR	V. E PATTERSON	)		
	Defendant(s).	)		
continuance c	outweigh the best interest of the pub	lic and tl	, the court excludes time under the Spand finds that the ends of justice served by the he defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § uis continuance on the following factor(s):	peedy
	Failure to grant a continuance wou See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ıld be lik	cely to result in a miscarriage of justice.	
<u> </u>	defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to example to example to example to example the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to example the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to example the nature of the na	e prosect xpect ade	to [check applicable reasons] the number of ution, or the existence of novel questions of equate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the transfer this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).	fact
-	Failure to grant a continuance wou taking into account the exercise of	ıld deny due dili	the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, gence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	
. —	Failure to grant a continuance wou counsel's other scheduled case con See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv)	nmitmer	sonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, ats, taking into account the exercise of due diligend	given e.
	Failure to grant a continuance wou necessary for effective preparation See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv)	, taking	sonably deny the defendant the reasonable time into account the exercise of due diligence.	
	disposition of criminal cases, the c paragraph and — based on the par- the time limits for a preliminary he	ourt sets ties' shove earing un or an inc	ing into account the public interest in the prompt the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the wing of good cause — finds good cause for extendader Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for dictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the im. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).	ling
	SO ORDERED.		ham	
DATE	D: 4/8/19		11000	
			DONNA M. RYU	
	(		United States Magistrate Judge	
STIPU	LATED (Un		Silly	
	Attorney for Defendant		Assistant United States Attorney	